## 7.-Value of All Products of the Fisheries, by Province, 1957-61

NOTE.—Figures for the years 1917-56 are given in the corresponding table of previous Year Books beginning with the 1922-23 edition. Totals for five-year intervals from 1870 are given in the 1956 edition, p. 597.

		**		
1957	1958	1959	1960	1961
\$'000	\$'000	\$'000	\$'000	\$'000
$\begin{array}{r} 26,750\\ 4,410\\ 45,779\\ 22,293\\ 7,580\\ 7,928\\ 5,929\\ 2,010\\ 1,451\\ 63,650\\ 1,298 \end{array}$	25,746 5,449 50,812 r 24,623 7,827 8,180 6,844 2,339 1,450 97,016 1,235	31,675 5,961 50,480 r 28,367 7,856 5,475 6,689 2,596 1,684 67,067 1,146	$\begin{array}{r} 33,783\\7,261\\51,753\\33,130\\7,622\\5,606\\7,035\\2,830\\2,021\\53,983\\1,075\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 33,119\\ 6,093\\ 55,593\\ 26,386\\ 8,131\\ 6,464\\ 6,214\\ 3,166\\ 1,701\\ 78,758\\ 1,179\end{array}$
188,018	231,540	203,040	198,005	222,879
168,769 19,249	210,931 20,609	184,879 18,161	178,750 19,255	<b>203</b> ,568 19,311
	\$'000 26,750 4,410 45,779 22,293 7,580 7,928 5,929 2,010 1,451 63,650 1,298 188,018 168,769	\$'000 \$'000   26,750 25,746   4,410 5,449   45,779 50,812 r   22,293 24,623   7,580 7,827   7,928 8,180   5,929 6,844   2,010 2,339   1,451 1,450   63,650 97,016   1,298 1,235   188,018 231,540   168,769 210,931	\$'000 \$'000 \$'000   26,750 25,746 31,675   4,410 5,449 5,961   45,779 50,812 r 50,480 r   22,293 24,623 28,367   7,580 7,827 7,856   7,928 8,180 5,475   5,929 6,844 6,689   2,010 2,339 2,596   1,451 1,450 1,684   63,650 97,016 67,067   1,298 1,235 1,146   188,018 231,540 203,040   168,769 210,931 184,879	\$'000 \$'000 \$'000 \$'000 \$'000   26,750 25,746 31,675 33,783   4,410 5,449 5,961 7,261   45,779 50,812 r 50,480 r 51,753   22,293 24,623 28,367 33,130   7,580 7,827 7,856 7,622   7,928 8,180 5,475 5,606   5,929 6,844 6,689 7,035   2,010 2,339 2,596 2,830   1,451 1,450 1,684 2,021   63,650 97,016 67,067 53,983   1,298 1,235 1,146 1,075   188,018 231,540 203,040 198,005   168,769 210,931 184,879 178,750

<sup>1</sup> Totals differ from the sum of provincial totals because salted groundfish (except boneless) are based on sales rather than production; duplications for bloaters are also removed.

## PART II.--FURS

## Section 1.—The Fur Industry\*

Fur Trapping.—Without furs the history of Canada might well have been very different. It was with tales of a country teeming with fur bearers that Groseilliers and Radisson interested Charles II in 1665, leading directly to the formation of the Hudson's Bay Company, and it was with furs that the early French colonists in their settlements along the St. Lawrence River purchased their necessities from France. The early settlers soon found that although their new homeland contained boundless resources, markets were lacking for the produce of farm and woodlot, and in most cases fur trapping was the sole activity that could be counted upon to produce immediate revenue. The furs from the New World met with a ready market in Europe and, from the advent of settlement right up to the commencement of the nineteenth century, trapping remained the most important industry in Canada.

Although the relative importance of the fur industry in the Canadian economy has declined through the years, fur trapping continues to contribute substantially to the national income. Despite almost unbroken trapping activity over a period of many years, the production of wild fur bearers has been well maintained and, even in the settled areas, some varieties are still taken in large numbers. However, in most cases the wildlife has retreated before the advance of settlement so that the principal trapping areas now lie in the northern portions of the provinces and in the Northwest Territories.

Conservation measures, including the establishment of natural preserves and the protection of scarce types by limiting the catch or closing the season completely for a time, have been of material assistance in maintaining the numbers of wild fur bearers. Also, in many fur-producing areas, provincial and territorial authorities have instituted a registration system in accordance with which trapping areas are assigned to individuals on a constant basis. This system puts the responsibility on the trapper for the conservation of fur bearers in his own area, and encourages him to trap less intensively any species that show signs of becoming scarce. Prior to the allotment of individual areas, each trapper

<sup>\*</sup> Prepared by A. Stewart, Production and Marketing Branch, Department of Agriculture, Ottawa.